



Olive Street Elementary School

255 West Olive Avenue • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7190 • Grades K-6
Jose A. Navarro, Principal
tnavarro@portervilleschools.org

2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year

Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave.
Porterville, CA 93257
(559) 793-2400
portervilleschools.org

District Governing Board

Hayley Buettner
Pete Lara, Jr.
Pat Contreras
Sharon Gill
David DePaoli
Richard Morris
Lillian Durbin

District Administration

John Snavelly, Ed.D.
Superintendent

Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Business Services**

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services**

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources**

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (559) 782-7190.

Principal's Message

The staff at Olive Street School is committed to providing a clean and safe learning environment, where learning is a daily experience by our students. Our ongoing review and improvement of our instruction will be measured by regular assessment of all students and programs. Our staff is professionally skilled and personally committed to meeting the learning and social needs of all our students. We will maintain high standards of citizenship and academics. Olive Street School and our parents have the same goal; to make sure all our students receive the best education possible. Together we will promote a powerful, cohesive partnership that actively involves faculty, students, parents, and the community. The focus of our efforts this coming year will be to have independent, fluent readers, at all grade levels. I invite you to call or visit me and share your ideas or thoughts with me. My door will always be open to you.

School Mission Statement

The mission of Olive Street School is to provide quality educational experiences that develop literate, lifelong learners who function productively in and contribute responsibly to diverse, technological, and everchanging society.

Community & School Profile

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites. Olive Street School serves students in grades kindergarten through six on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on improving reading and writing skills, with special emphasis placed on cultural awareness. Diverse literature selections and various multicultural observances promote a climate of tolerance and acceptance among students and faculty. During the 2010-11 school year, 735 students were enrolled at the school. Student demographics are displayed in the chart.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the Porterville Unified School District. The Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) plays an active role in the community and at each school site through fundraising and special activities. Contributions from Porterville College, and Porterville High School add to the programs available at Olive Street School.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kinder.	119
Gr. 1	111
Gr. 2	94
Gr. 3	103
Gr. 4	97
Gr. 5	89
Gr. 6	105
Total	718

Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	0.6
Filipino	0.6
Hispanic or Latino	95.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0
White	2.2
Two or More Races	0.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	98.7
English Learners	71.3
Students with Disabilities	3.5

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Olive Street Elementary School. Administrators, teachers, and classified staff supervise students on campus before and after school and during recess, while noon-duty supervisors monitor students during the lunch break. All visitors must sign in at the principal's office and receive proper authorization to be on campus. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times. The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in fall 2009 by the Safety Committee. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness.

The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis; fire drills are held once a month, earthquake drills are held twice a year, and secure campus drills are conducted once each year.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Year	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
Kinder.	23.4	22.4	30	0	3		5	2	4	0	0	
Gr. 1	24.6	23	28	0	2		5	3	4	0	0	
Gr. 2	23.5	22	24	0	1	1	4	4	3	0	0	
Gr. 3	24.8	23	26	0	2		4	2	4	0	0	
Gr. 4	32	30	32	0	0		3	3	2	0	0	1
Gr. 5	32.7	33.3	30	0	0		2	0	3	1	3	
Gr. 6	31.7	33.7	35	0	0		3	0		0	3	3

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	5.99	7.87	4.43
Expulsions Rate	0.41	0.00	0.00
District	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	11.96	11.83	7.97
Expulsions Rate	1.03	0.14	0.17

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Olive Street School was originally constructed in 1934 and has since undergone complete modernization. The school received upgrades to all restrooms and window replacements on all buildings in 1994. The cafeteria was also remodeled and expanded in January 2006.

The campus is currently comprised of 34 classrooms (including portables), a library, two computer labs, three staff restrooms, a cafeteria, three playgrounds, and the main office. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in December 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	RMS 15-20 RR Girls- Floor tile broken. RMS 15-20 RR Boys, RMS 1-4 RR Girls, Main Build RR Boys- Broken wall tiles. Main Build RR Boys- Graffiti. RM-35- STAINED CEILING TILES. RM-32- CARPET TORN. RM-21- TORN WALL COVER. RM-22- BROKEN FLOOR TILES. RM-2- HOLES IN WALL.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	RM-25- Broken light lens. RMS 15-20 RR Girls- Broken light cover. CAFETERIA, RM-30, RM-23, RM 18, LIBRARY- LIGHT FIXTURE OUT. RM-22- 14 LIGHT FIXTURES OUT. RM-6- MISSING LIGHT COVER.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	RM-30- EXHAUST FAN NOT WORKING. RMS 1-4 RR Boys, Main build RR Girls- Faucet handle broken. RM-14- DRINKING FOUNTAIN BROKEN. RM-3- REPAIR DRINKING FOUNTAIN.

School Facility Good Repair Status

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	RM-25, RM-31A- BROKEN CEILING TILES. RM-27, RM-14, LIBRARY, COMPUTER LAB, RM-21, RM-22, RM-1, RM-2, RM-4, RM-11, RM-12, RM-13- STAINED CEILING TILE. RM-9- STAINED & BROKEN CEILING TILES.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	RM-19- BB SHOT HOLES IN 2 WINDOWS. RM-22- BB SHOT HOLES IN WINDOW.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

Teacher Credentials			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	30	30	28
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	◆	◆	563
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	4

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
School	11-12	12-13	13-14
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. For the past three years, the district has offered two staff development days.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. The school uses the Best Practices teaching strategies and teachers are trained in the techniques each year.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	98.2	1.8
High-Poverty Schools	98.2	1.8
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist	1
Other	2
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,415	\$899	\$4,516	\$69,318
District	♦	♦	\$6,336	\$69,248
State	♦	♦	\$5,537	\$68,841
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-28.7	0.1
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-18.4	0.7

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,106	\$40,933
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,598	\$65,087
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,129	\$84,436
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$123,392	\$106,715
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$114,481	\$111,205
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$124,594	\$120,506
Superintendent Salary	\$155,487	\$207,812
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	38.9%	39.8%
Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.1%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school’s use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 6, 2011 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in December 2011, regarding textbooks in use during the 2011-12 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials		
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption	
Reading/Language Arts	2003: Houghton Mifflin	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	
Mathematics	2007: Glencoe/McGraw Hill	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	
Science	2006: Glencoe 2001: Harcourt	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	
History-Social Science	2007: Houghton Mifflin	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	
Health	2006: Harcourt	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	45	46	37	42	45	42	54	56	55
Math	63	61	56	41	44	41	49	50	50
Science	35	34	44	44	44	44	57	60	59
H-SS				35	37	36	48	49	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	42	41	44	36
All Student at the School	37	56	44	
Male	32	54	47	
Female	42	57	40	
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	37	56	42	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	55	44	
English Learners	18	48	5	
Students with Disabilities	4	4		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	33	57		

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	16.3	23.3	24.4

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	10-11	11-12	12-13
All Students at the School	9	-6	-37
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	7	-3	-40
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9	-7	-36
English Learners	6	8	-39
Students with Disabilities			

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	5	5	4
Similar Schools	10	9	9

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2006-2007
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	17	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	89.5	

API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group	School	District	State	
All Students at the School	Students	450	10,065	4,655,989
	API-G	751	742	790
Black or African American	Students	0	41	296,463
	API-G		742	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	Students	0	233	30,394
	API-G		683	743
Asian	Students	3	180	406,527
	API-G		815	906
Filipino	Students	4	93	121,054
	API-G		820	867
Hispanic or Latino	Students	431	7,923	2,438,951
	API-G	751	735	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Students	0	26	25,351
	API-G		655	774
White	Students	10	1,452	1,200,127
	API-G		775	853
Two or More Races	Students	1	94	125,025
	API-G		758	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	446	8,452	2,774,640
	API-G	749	730	743
English Learners	Students	311	3,765	1,482,316
	API-G	742	689	721
Students with Disabilities	Students	22	579	527,476
	API-G	382	494	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No